

Alkaline-Activated Materials for CO₂ Capture – Literature Review, Own Observations, and Future Perspectives

Agnieszka Przybek^{1,2,3,*}, Kinga Setlak^{1,2,3}, Jakub Piątkowski^{1,2,3},
Korneliusz Rzepka², Paulina Romańska^{2,3}, Janusz Mikuła^{2,3},
Michał Łach^{2,3*}

¹CUT Doctoral School, Cracow University of Technology, Warszawska 24, 31-155 Cracow, Poland

²Faculty of Materials Engineering and Physics, Cracow University of Technology, Jana Pawła II 37, 31-864 Cracow, Poland

³Interdisciplinary Center for Circular Economy, Cracow University of Technology, Warszawska 24, 31-155 Cracow, Poland

*Author to whom correspondence should be addressed:
E-mail: michal.lach@pk.edu.pl; agnieszka.przybek@pk.edu.pl

(Received April 07, 2025; Revised June 27, 2025; Accepted July 20, 2025)

Abstract: Alkaline-activated materials and geopolymers are materials derived from the alkaline activation of aluminosilicate precursors. Their production is based on the reaction of raw materials rich in silica (SiO₂) and aluminum (Al₂O₃) with alkaline activators. They have been known for many years and are regarded as a viable alternative to traditional binders. One crucial aspect of these materials is their potential to capture and permanently immobilize CO₂ molecules within their structure, owing to their chemical composition and inherent porosity. This feature can bring significant environmental benefits and contribute to reducing atmospheric CO₂ levels, especially in the context of large-scale industrial use. Alkali-activated materials rank among the most promising systems for CO₂ reduction and may form a crucial component of future strategies aimed at combating climate change. At least three main mechanisms of CO₂ sequestration in such materials have been identified, including physical and chemical adsorption, as well as carbonate formation. However, this topic still faces substantial challenges that need to be addressed by the scientific community. This paper presents the current state of knowledge regarding CO₂ sequestration mechanisms in geopolymer and alkali-activated materials, while also outlining key future directions and research priorities.

Keywords: alkaline-activated materials; carbon dioxide adsorption; CO₂ capture; geopolymer structure; zeolites

1. Introduction

The intensifying greenhouse effect is a consequence of increasing atmospheric concentrations of greenhouse gases. It is estimated that, despite the optimization of fossil fuel combustion processes and the use of renewable energy sources, climate change will be largely caused by an increase in the concentration of greenhouse gases. Carbon dioxide (CO₂) is widely recognized as the primary contributor to anthropogenic global warming due to its high atmospheric concentration and long residence time ^{1), 2), 3)}. While scientific perspectives may differ regarding the relative contributions of natural and human-related drivers of climate change, the steady rise in atmospheric CO₂ is clear, as is the urgent need to reduce its buildup. Recent

data from the *Global Carbon Budget 2024* indicate that global CO₂ emissions from fossil fuel combustion and industrial processes reached a record high of approximately 37.4 billion tonnes in 2024, continuing an upward trend despite efforts to increase renewable energy use ⁴⁾. This persistent growth highlights the challenge of mitigating climate change solely through emission reductions and underscores the critical need for effective carbon sequestration and removal technologies to complement decarbonization efforts ⁵⁾. The hope for a substantial shift in this trajectory lies in innovative Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) technologies. Experts say that current CCS technology has the potential to contribute to the mitigation of approximately 14–20% of total anthropogenic CO₂ emissions ⁶⁾. Although numerous

methods for CO₂ sequestration are available, current efforts remain insufficient. To stay on track with the 2°C scenario — that is, to limit global temperature rise to 1.5–2°C above pre-industrial levels in accordance with the Paris Agreement — the carbon capture and storage (CCS) sector would need to expand by 2050 to a scale 2–4 times larger than today’s oil industry^{6),7)}. While such a projection may appear unlikely, the commitments made under the Paris Agreement demand urgent mobilization and the pursuit of more effective sequestration solutions and materials. A responsible approach, when paired with the support of artificial intelligence in energy management and organizational processes, can produce tangible results even in the short term—outcomes that may currently seem unrealistic to many⁸⁾. The material challenges faced by researchers and innovators are to tailor and optimize the structural sorbents for their working CO₂ capacity, selectivity to other components, effects of pollutants and moisture, mass and heat transfer kinetics, and mechanical and chemical strength, all of which are specific to exhaust systems and exhaust composition⁹⁾.

A particularly promising direction involves the development of materials capable of simultaneously reducing CO₂ emissions and enabling its long-term sequestration. CO₂ capture and conversion using structured porous sorbents and catalysts is a solution that can help decarbonize carbon-intensive industries. The building sector, in particular, offers substantial potential for emission reductions, as it currently accounts for over 30% of global energy consumption and approximately 26% of energy-related CO₂ emissions¹⁰⁾. In this context, geopolymers — also referred to as inorganic polymers — represent a low-emission alternative to conventional cement-based materials, particularly those relying on Portland cement. More importantly, they offer the potential to serve as the most effective media for permanent CO₂ sequestration.

Geopolymer materials have attracted increasing scientific attention due to their unique structural features and versatility in sorption-related applications¹¹⁾. Their inherently high porosity, tunable pore structure, and well-developed specific surface area make them highly promising as solid adsorbents for various substances, including heavy metals and organic pollutants^{12),13)}. These same features—combined with their alkaline composition and reactive surface—also make geopolymers particularly interesting candidates for CO₂ capture¹⁴⁻¹⁸⁾. Experimental studies have shown that CO₂ adsorption can be enhanced through structural modification, such as the incorporation of zeolites or hydrotalcite phases into the geopolymer matrix, further improving capacity and selectivity¹⁴⁻¹⁷⁾.

Numerous recent works have demonstrated the potential of geopolymer-based materials to effectively fix CO₂ via physical and chemical interactions with their surface and internal microstructure^{16),17),19),20)}. These interactions are

closely linked to their pore size distribution and the presence of active adsorption sites, which can be tailored during synthesis^{21),22)}.

Foaming techniques have been shown to further enhance the porosity and specific surface area of geopolymers, thereby improving their performance as adsorbent materials²³⁻²⁵⁾.

As a result, geopolymers are now being extensively investigated not only for their mechanical performance and durability, but also as functional materials in the context of carbon capture technologies^{26),27)}.

This paper presents a literature review on the potential for CO₂ sequestration in geopolymers and alkali-activated materials. Several mechanisms and methods of CO₂ sequestration are discussed, with particular focus on solid-state geopolymers due to their highly favorable composition and microstructure. Although briefly, the review also addresses CO₂ fixation occurring during the synthesis and setting stages of geopolymer formation. Following the discussion of sequestration mechanisms, the key advantages of geopolymers as CO₂-adsorbing materials are examined, particularly in comparison to other commonly used construction materials. A dedicated section discusses zeolites formed in situ within the geopolymer matrix, which have shown particularly high efficiency in capturing CO₂. An important and original part of this work incorporates the authors’ own research and applied observations from ongoing collaboration with the industrial sector. These insights highlight not only what the sector is currently pursuing but also where its focus should shift in the near future. The authors identify critical areas where the scientific community should direct its efforts to unlock the full potential of geopolymers. Given their sorption capabilities, geopolymers may eventually be recognized not merely as alternatives or competitors to traditional binders, but as active contributors to reducing the carbon footprint of the cement industry.

2. Mechanisms of CO₂ fixation in geopolymers and alkali-activated materials

As in other types of sorption materials or porous ceramics, the mechanisms that can be useful in CO₂ sorption are several in geopolymers. Geopolymers, however, are among the most favorable materials in this regard, as they have a number of features that distinguish them from other similar material structures²⁸⁻³¹⁾.

Sequestration can occur both on hardened geopolymer surfaces and during the synthesis and curing stages of geopolymer formation. A distinction should be made between surface adsorption (reversible), including physical and chemical mechanisms, and structural and permanent reactions (irreversible), such as mineralization or the incorporation of CO₂ into binding phases during curing³²⁻³⁵⁾.




Fig. 1: Main mechanisms of CO₂ fixation in solid-state geopolymers

This paper focuses primarily on CO₂ sequestration in solid-state geopolymers. Three main sequestration mechanisms are identified here: physical adsorption, chemical adsorption, and carbonation/efflorescence. This classification is illustrated graphically in Figure 1. Additionally, to provide a more comprehensive overview, a brief review of selected sequestration methods occurring during the production stage is also included.

These mechanisms can interpenetrate and complement one another, often occurring simultaneously and collectively enhancing the overall capacity for CO₂ sequestration³⁶⁻⁴⁰.

2.1. Mechanisms of CO₂ Interaction with Solid-State Geopolymer

2.1.1. Physical and Chemical Adsorption

Physical adsorption involves the attachment of CO₂ molecules to the surface of the geopolymer by means of weak van der Waals forces. This process depends on such parameters as the porous structure of the material (micro- and mesopores), the specific surface area of the geopolymer, and the process conditions (pressure, temperature, humidity)⁴¹⁻⁴⁷. Physical adsorption is a reversible process that allows regeneration of the material. Chemical adsorption involves chemical reactions between CO₂ and active chemical groups present on the surface or in the structure of the geopolymer. Chemical reactions that can occur include carbonation, which is the reaction of CO₂ with active metal oxides (e.g., CaO, MgO), leading to the formation of carbonates (e.g., CaCO₃, MgCO₃), or reactions with hydroxyl groups (-OH) in the geopolymer matrix. Chemical adsorption is more durable than physical adsorption, but the process of regenerating the material is more difficult⁴⁸⁻⁵⁵. Factors affecting CO₂ sequestration efficiency are primarily chemical composition. A high content of alkali oxides (e.g., Na₂O, K₂O) increases the capacity for carbonation, as well as the presence of reactive silicates and aluminates promotes CO₂ capture⁵⁶.

Another factor is the porous structure. Larger specific surface area and pore volume improve adsorption efficiency. The last factor is environmental conditions. Lower temperatures favor physical adsorption, while

higher temperatures can initiate chemical reactions⁵⁷. CO₂ pressure and relative humidity have a significant impact on the adsorption process⁵⁸⁻⁶⁴. Due to their large specific surface area, geopolymers can act as filter materials in CO₂ capture processes from industrial gases⁶⁵⁻⁶⁸. Faqir et al. have shown that metakaolin-based geopolymers exhibit significant CO₂ adsorption capacity, with some formulations reaching a maximum adsorption capacity of up to 800 mg/g under certain conditions⁶⁹. The incorporation of zeolites and other additives can further improve adsorption properties by increasing surface area and introducing reactive sites for chemical interactions^{24,70}. This topic will be discussed in more detail in Subsection 3.2.

2.1.2. Carbonation and Associated Efflorescence

The formation of efflorescence is related to leaching of sodium or potassium ions from the structure of geopolymers, and is explained similarly to hydraulic binders by the fact that when the products are in contact with moisture, water, due to capillary forces, leaches the alkali ions and "carries" them to the surface. After the water evaporates, these ions react with CO₂ in the atmosphere and hydrated sodium or potassium carbonates are formed, e.g. Na₂CO₃·nH₂O, NaHCO₃·nH₂O, K₂CO₃. This happens especially when there is an excess of alkaline substances in the material and the material has high porosity. This is especially true for geopolymers with a high Na₂O/Al₂O₃ ratio. Studies conducted so far on efflorescence on geopolymers have shown that white efflorescence on the surface of geopolymer samples is Na₂CO₃·7H₂O. The identified carbonate crystallizes from inside the pores and "grows" on the outside of the sample. Studies of the ²³Na NMR MAS spectrum indicate that alkali metals are bound by Al in the Si-O-Al chain structure in the Na,K(H₂O)_n⁺ form rather than in the Na⁺, K⁺ po-form⁷¹. The binding of alkali metals in the form of Na,K(H₂O)_n⁺ complexes is weaker than direct Na⁺ binding, which is the reason for the ease of leaching of alkali metals from alkali-activated structures and geopolymers^{72,73}.

Examples of efflorescence on the surface of geopolymers are shown in Figure 2 and Figure 3. Efflorescence on the surface of geopolymers is sodium carbonates formed by CO₂ found in the surrounding atmosphere and sodium ions found on the surface of geopolymers.

The formation of carbonates on geopolymer surfaces is a gradual process. Initially, only small amounts of efflorescence appear, which increase over time until the surface becomes completely covered.

This efflorescence phenomenon is typically regarded as a degradation feature, particularly when these materials are used as building components, since it adversely affects their aesthetic appearance and poses a barrier to wider implementation of geopolymers⁷⁴⁻⁷⁶. However, when




Fig. 2: Examples of geopolymer materials covered with efflorescence in the form of sodium carbonate: (a) shows the beginning of efflorescence formation, (b) the advanced stage. (Source: authors' own unpublished research; illustrative purposes only)




Fig. 3: SEM micrographs showing sodium carbonate formations on the surface of geopolymer samples: close-up views corresponding to the materials presented in Fig. 2 (a) and (b) (Source: authors' own unpublished research; illustrative purposes only)

managed appropriately, this process may also offer opportunities for atmospheric CO₂ reduction. By creating sufficiently large geopolymer elements with highly developed surface areas, these materials can serve as effective CO₂ capture systems⁷⁷⁻⁷⁹). The emerging sodium carbonates formed on their surfaces can be systematically harvested and used as raw materials in various chemical and industrial applications. Notably, sodium carbonate has well-established uses in the glass and paper industries, as well as in the formulation of chemical cleaning agents^{80),81}). Harnessing this potential requires a comprehensive and systematic research approach to develop suitable technological solutions and optimize efflorescence management.

2.2. Bulk CO₂ incorporation during synthesis and curing

Another strategy for enhancing the carbon sequestration potential of geopolymers involves the direct incorporation of CO₂ during material synthesis and curing. Unlike conventional post-synthesis adsorption processes, this approach enables permanent immobilization of carbon dioxide within the bulk matrix by taking advantage of carbonation reactions occurring concurrently with geopolymerization. The presence of CO₂ in the reaction environment can lead to the formation of metastable carbonate phases that evolve during curing, contributing not only to carbon capture but also to the mechanical performance of the hardened material. An example is provided by the study of Matalkah and Soroushian on geopolymers formulated with fluidized-bed combustion (FBC) coal ash, synthesized in a CO₂-rich environment⁸²). Characterization using XRD, SEM/EDS, TGA, and FTIR confirmed the presence of non-crystalline (metastable) carbonates formed in situ during curing. These metastable carbonates, upon subsequent crystallization, enhanced the hydration-like binding processes within the geopolymer matrix. Thus, enhanced binding mechanisms resulted in significant improvements in mechanical strength compared to samples cured in air. The optimized geopolymer formulation achieved CO₂ uptake of approximately 7–8 wt%. Additionally, the material exhibited good fresh-state workability and reached compressive strength values suitable for structural applications after 28 days of curing.

These findings align with other research that highlights the beneficial influence of carbonation during curing, including enhanced geopolymerization and polymerization effects in CO₂-cured alkali-activated materials³⁴). The patent by Khalifeh and Hodne detailed specific parameters, such as the concentration of alkaline activators, temperature, and curing atmosphere, that promote simultaneous geopolymerization and carbonation reactions. This co-occurrence should lead to enhanced densification of the microstructure and improved

mechanical properties due to the filling effect of carbonate minerals. Additionally, the method enabled the utilization of various industrial by-products (e.g., fly ash, slag) as precursors, thereby contributing to sustainability and waste valorization ⁸³).

In the specific case of geopolymers based on fluidized-bed combustion (FBC) ash cured in CO₂-rich environments, a study by Ridha et al. has shown CO₂ uptake in the range of 7–8 wt%, along with 20–35% improvements in compressive strength over conventional air curing at various time points ⁸⁴).

Another promising approach involves using sodium carbonate (Na₂CO₃), commonly known as soda ash, synthesized through CO₂ capture, as an alkali activator in geopolymer production. While the formation of soda ash as a product of carbonation was discussed earlier in Section 2.1.1 (Carbonation and Associated Efflorescence), it is important to note that Na₂CO₃ can also be deliberately used as an alkali activator during the synthesis of geopolymer binders. This dual functionality opens new opportunities for closing the carbon loop—converting captured CO₂ not only into a stable product but also into a reactive precursor that facilitates further CO₂ fixation. Studies have demonstrated that carbonate-based activators, such as Na₂CO₃, can replace or complement conventional activators like sodium hydroxide and sodium silicate. Ma et al. showed that partial replacement of sodium silicate with Na₂CO₃ in slag–fly ash geopolymers yielded materials with mechanical strength comparable to traditional systems, while reducing the carbon footprint of the process ⁸⁵).

Similarly, hybrid one-part geopolymers activated entirely with Na₂CO₃ have demonstrated excellent compressive strength and workability, while embedding carbonate into the matrix.

Expanding on this idea, Gupta et al. introduced a CO₂-assisted geopolymerization method in which CO₂ is used to both synthesize soda ash and initiate aluminosilicate polymerization in fly ash systems ⁸⁶). His approach yielded materials suitable for construction applications. Notably, the process consumed a substantial amount of CO₂, providing a meaningful pathway for direct atmospheric carbon utilization.

A somewhat comparable strategy was demonstrated by Zheng et al., who utilized calcium oxide (CaO) as both the alkaline activator and an in situ CO₂ sorbent in one-part alkali-activated slag systems. In this dual-function role, CaO underwent carbonation during the reaction, forming a surface layer of CaCO₃ that influenced the microstructure and reactivity of the material. The carbonation process was facilitated by physically adsorbed water and governed by CO₂ diffusion under ambient conditions. While the formation of CaCO₃ contributed to microstructural densification, it also led to increased setting times due to the development of a product layer on the activator surface,

which hindered further reaction by increasing diffusion resistance ⁸⁷).

3. Geopolymer-Based Strategies for Enhancing CO₂ Sequestration

3.1. Advantages of Geopolymers over Conventional Materials for CO₂ Capture

The synthesis of geopolymers for CO₂ capture offers several advantages over conventional materials, particularly in terms of environmental impact and functional performance. One key advantage is the possibility of utilizing industrial by-products and mining waste as precursors. Commonly used materials in this context include fly ash and, to a lesser extent, blast furnace slag due to their high aluminosilicate content and favorable reactivity. A less typical yet promising example is presented by Schneider et al. ⁸⁸). They demonstrated that geopolymer–zeolite composites produced using phosphate mining tailings form hierarchically porous structures that are effective in capturing CO₂. Vasconcelos et al. developed a process for synthesizing synthetic geopolymers using raw materials such as metakaolin, slag, and fly ash, emphasizing the importance of removing impurities that could hinder material performance. The resulting geopolymers demonstrate enhanced mechanical and chemical stability, making them suitable candidates for long-term CO₂ capture applications. Their tailored composition allows for tunable porosity and surface chemistry, which can be optimized to maximize CO₂ uptake while maintaining structural integrity ⁸⁹).

The intrinsic microstructure of geopolymers plays a critical role in their gas adsorption capacity. As shown by Zarębska et al. ⁹⁰), the presence of irregular pores and a highly interconnected aluminosilicate network enhances the physical sorption of CO₂ molecules, while also enabling the removal of other gaseous pollutants such as SO₂ and H₂O vapor.

Geopolymers are also distinguished by their excellent thermal stability and chemical durability. Nasvi, Ranjith, and Sanjayan ¹⁹) demonstrated that properly formulated geopolymers exhibit low CO₂ permeability and strong resistance to leaching, making them suitable for long-term carbon storage, including applications such as well cementing in CO₂ sequestration reservoirs.

In terms of practical applications, geopolymers have been extensively researched for use in CO₂ sequestration wells, demonstrating performance that surpasses traditional Portland cement. Adjei et al. ⁹¹) conclude that geopolymers exhibit excellent resistance to carbonation, eliminating the degradation risks associated with cement-induced efflorescence. Moreover, geopolymers maintain mechanical integrity under CO₂-rich and high-pressure downhole conditions, showing negligible changes in

compressive strength and Young's modulus even after six months of exposure⁹²). They also perform significantly better in acidic and high-salinity environments, remaining compatible with drilling fluids and maintaining seal integrity⁹³).

Further insight into the adsorption capabilities of geopolymers was provided by Ishwarya et al.⁷⁴), who investigated CO₂ uptake in metakaolin-based geopolymers of the (K)poly(sialate-siloxo) type, synthesized using potassium silicate solutions without the use of pore-forming agents. Despite the absence of artificial porosity enhancers, the resulting materials exhibited relatively high total porosity (30–60%) and a specific surface area ranging from 22 to 50 m²/g, as determined by Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) analysis. The measured CO₂ adsorption capacity was approximately 0.6 mmol/g at atmospheric pressure. Although this value is lower than those reported for advanced sorbents such as metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) or synthetic zeolites, the geopolymer demonstrated markedly higher selectivity for CO₂ over lighter gases like methane and nitrogen. This behavior was attributed to competitive sorption mechanisms, molecular size exclusion, and preferential interaction with CO₂ molecules.

These properties collectively position geopolymers as a multifunctional and low-impact alternative to traditional materials used in carbon capture and storage systems. However, even greater CO₂ capture performance can be achieved through targeted modifications of the geopolymer matrix. These include the formation of geopolymer–zeolite composites, which combine the structural advantages of geopolymers with the high surface area and microporosity of zeolites; the enhancement of porosity through physical or chemical foaming techniques; and chemical functionalization of the surface, for instance by introducing amine groups that promote selective chemisorption of CO₂. These strategies are discussed in detail in the following subsections.

3.2. In-Situ Zeolite Formation and its role in CO₂ capture in alkali-activated systems

One of the distinguishing features of alkali-activated materials, particularly geopolymers, is their ability to form zeolites under certain synthesis conditions. This phenomenon, known as in-situ zeolite formation, has gained increasing attention due to its significant impact on the structure, porosity, and sorption properties of the resulting materials⁹⁴⁻⁹⁸).

Zeolites themselves are well known for their excellent adsorption and separation capabilities, particularly in gas separation applications. Scientists placed particular emphasis on zeolite frameworks with micropores whose dimensions are closely aligned to the kinetic diameter of light gases, such as CO₂. Nanoporous zeolites offer distinctive opportunities for the development of high-

performance zeolite and zeotype membranes intended for CO₂ removal and capture applications⁹⁹⁻¹⁰³).

A study worth mentioning in this context is the work by Hayakawa and Himeno¹⁰⁴), who presented the synthesis of silica-based ZSM-58 zeolite membranes on tubular α -alumina supports. By optimizing the synthesis parameters, they obtained high-purity ZSM-58 membranes with a high efficiency of CO₂ separation from CH₄ and N₂, confirming the potential of such materials for selective gas adsorption and separation.

The integration of the mechanical strength of the geopolymer with the adsorptive properties of zeolite crystals within the geopolymer matrix enhances its suitability for membrane applications⁹⁴).

The synthesis of zeolites from materials/raw materials of anthropogenic origin is most often carried out in a similar manner to the manufacture of geopolymer materials, namely by alkaline activation. For this reason, zeolites of various types are very common in geopolymer structures^{17,105-110}). The high reactivity and homogeneity of metakaolin-based geopolymers promote extensive zeolitization during hydrothermal treatment¹¹¹).

Fly ash-based geopolymers, despite their more heterogeneous nature, can also achieve a substantial degree of zeolite formation, especially when the type of activator, curing temperature, and solution composition are appropriately optimized¹¹²).

Examples of zeolite crystals formed within fly ash-based geopolymer matrices, as observed in the authors' experimental materials, are presented in Figure 4. These images are provided for illustrative purposes only, to show the typical morphology of such in-situ crystalline phases.

The adsorption properties of fly ash-based geopolymers have been shown to depend strongly on the extent of zeolite phase formation within the matrix. Zheng et al. demonstrated that during the *in-situ* transformation of amorphous aluminosilicate gels in such systems, Na-P1 zeolite crystals can form, significantly enhancing the material's mechanical integrity and its ability to adsorb metal ions such as Cu²⁺ and Ni²⁺¹¹³). These results confirm the dual benefit of zeolitization in geopolymers, contributing both to structural development and functional performance in adsorption processes.

Furthermore, traditional methods of granulating zeolites for practical use often involve the addition of inert binder materials such as bentonite or kaolin clay, which tend to reduce the CO₂ adsorption capacity of the final product by approximately 15–20%. In this context, the use of geopolymers as a binder offers a promising alternative. As shown by Vegere et al., alkali-activated metakaolin can serve simultaneously as a zeolite-like binder and an active adsorbent phase, eliminating the need for inert additives and preserving or even enhancing CO₂ adsorption performance¹¹⁴). This highlights the potential of geopolymer-based systems not only as precursors for




Fig. 4: SEM microphotographs showing zeolite structures formed in fly ash-based geopolymers (source - authors' own research)

zeolite formation but also as functional sorbents in their own right. Expanding the understanding of zeolite performance for CO₂ capture, Shi et al. conducted grand canonical Monte Carlo (GCMC) simulations to evaluate the CO₂ sorption potential of 2625 aluminosilicate zeolite structures¹¹⁵. These were selected from a set of 262,500 hypothetical ABC-6 models with Si/Al ratios ranging from 1.0 to 10. Beyond conventional metrics such as CO₂ adsorption selectivity, the study also assessed working capacity, adsorbent performance score, and regenerability to offer a comprehensive evaluation of zeolite performance in temperature-swing adsorption (TSA), vacuum-swing

adsorption (VSA), and pressure-swing adsorption (PSA) processes. The results revealed hundreds of hypothetical structures with CO₂ capture efficiencies exceeding that of Na-X zeolite, which is currently regarded as one of the most effective CO₂ sorbents. These findings provide valuable insights for guiding the experimental development of new high-performance zeolite materials. Significantly, many of the hypothetical zeolite frameworks identified in the simulations may be synthesized through carefully controlled geopolymerization processes. This opens up opportunities for designing geopolymer-based materials that not only serve as structural components but also function as efficient CO₂ sorbents. Given the temperature dependence of CO₂ capture, such multifunctional materials could be applied in thermally exposed environments—such as chimney liners—where elevated temperatures favor the adsorption process. Properly engineered, these materials could contribute to in-situ carbon capture from flue gases, combining structural utility with environmental functionality.

Several researchers investigating the CO₂ adsorption potential of zeolites have focused on structural and surface modifications to improve their sorption performance. Current trends aimed at improving the CO₂ adsorption capacity of zeolites include amine, silica, and ion-exchange modifications. Other promising efforts to improve the adsorption capacity of zeolites include the processing of zeolite nanopowders, nanofibers, and zeolite-based foams¹¹⁶. Noteworthy results were reported by Panda, Kumar, and Singh¹¹⁷, who introduced mesoporosity into zeolite 4A (Z4A) bodies by employing a urea-assisted method to synthesize hierarchical zeolite 4A (HZ4A) with tailored textural properties. As a result, a series of hierarchical zeolites—HZ4A-1-3, HZ4A-1-1, and HZ4A-3-1—was obtained. Among them, HZ4A-1-3 demonstrated a significantly enhanced CO₂ adsorption capacity, even under humid conditions at 1 bar and 40 °C. Furthermore, this material exhibited excellent stability over ten consecutive adsorption–desorption cycles. The introduction of mesopores in HZ4A-1-3 facilitated rapid diffusion of CO₂ within the pore system, leading to faster adsorption (by 29 seconds) and desorption (by 92 seconds) compared to conventional Z4A. It also resulted in reduced water uptake (20% lower), a lower desorption temperature (by 13 °C), and a 14% decrease in CO₂ heat of adsorption. These improvements translated into higher adsorption efficiency and more favorable regeneration energy requirements. Overall, the study demonstrates the potential of hierarchical zeolite 4A, particularly HZ4A-1-3, as a highly promising sorbent for efficient and stable CO₂ capture applications.

3.3. Foamed Geopolymers: Increasing Surface Area and Porosity

Foamed geopolymers are studied for their potential in CO₂

capture, owing to their enhanced surface area and porous structure. Here, chemical foaming is the most common method compared to other foaming techniques. It involves the incorporation of a foaming agent into the geopolymer precursor mixture, which reacts or decomposes to release gases that form air voids within the material under alkaline conditions ¹¹⁸).

This approach is particularly attractive because it can be performed under ambient hygro-thermal conditions, resulting in lower energy consumption compared to alternative methods ¹¹⁹). The chemically induced porosity not only reduces material density but also significantly increases the specific surface area, enhancing the material's ability to adsorb and fix CO₂ molecules.

Recent studies have demonstrated that tailoring the composition and processing parameters of foamed geopolymers can significantly enhance their CO₂ adsorption performance. Chindaprasirt and Rattanasak showed that the use of chemical foaming agents such as aluminum powder, in combination with surfactants, leads to the formation of well-developed porous structures in alkali-activated fly ash-based materials. These materials exhibit a range of interconnected pores that facilitate gas diffusion and improve CO₂ capture efficiency ¹²⁰).

Han et al. reported the successful in-situ formation of zeolite X within foamed geopolymer matrices, achieved through the inclusion of hydrogen peroxide as a foaming agent and calcium stearate as a stabilizer. The crystallization of zeolite phases within the foam structure contributed not only to increased surface area but also to enhanced chemisorption of CO₂ due to the presence of microporous zeolitic domains ²⁵). This study builds upon the earlier work of Han et al., in which the authors synthesized self-supported zeolite foam geopolymers from fly ash under saturated steam conditions. The combination of foam structure and zeolite crystallization yielded materials with hierarchical porosity, ranging from micro- to macro-scale. The earlier study also confirmed that the alkali activator modulus significantly affects the formation and distribution of zeolitic phases, such as Na-X and Na-P, thereby influencing sorption properties. Although the 2020 work focused on heavy metal adsorption (e.g., Pb²⁺), the results clearly demonstrated the potential of these materials as efficient solid adsorbents, with implications for broader gas capture applications ⁹⁷).

Similarly, Candamano et al. developed hierarchical geopolymer-zeolite composites by combining chemical foaming with a two-step curing process. Their results indicated that hydrothermal treatment promoted the formation of NaX crystalline phases. At the same time, the addition of pore formers had a more pronounced effect on increasing specific surface area than the incorporation of activated carbon. These findings highlight the relevance of optimizing both porosity and mineralogical composition to maximize adsorption capacity ²⁴).

A chemo-physical foaming technique was explored by Monjezi and Javanbakht, who combined chemical gas-releasing reactions with emulsion templating to fabricate geopolymer foams enriched with ZSM-5 zeolite ¹²¹). Their results confirmed that hybrid foaming strategies improve textural properties and adsorption performance. Although their application targeted dye removal, the structural optimization—high porosity, interconnected pores, and presence of zeolitic phases—is equally beneficial for CO₂ capture.

The integration of in-situ zeolite formation with chemical foaming thus represents a promising strategy for producing geopolymer-based materials with enhanced CO₂ capture capabilities.

3.4. Chemical Functionalization with Amine Groups

Chemical functionalization with amine groups is a well-established strategy to enhance CO₂ chemisorption in porous materials. The approach relies on the formation of chemical bonds between CO₂ and surface-bound amine groups, resulting in stable carbamate or bicarbonate species and significantly increasing the adsorption capacity at low CO₂ partial pressures. Amine functionalization has been applied mainly to silica, MOFs, porous polymers, and carbon-based sorbents ¹²²⁻¹²⁵).

However, its extension to geopolymers is also emerging. Wang et al. synthesized porous geopolymer spheres from municipal solid waste incineration fly ash and subsequently functionalized them with amine groups ³⁰). The resulting material exhibited a significantly increased CO₂ adsorption capacity, attributed to both the high surface area and the successful grafting of amine functionalities. The amine-functionalized geopolymer spheres showed improved performance under low CO₂ partial pressures, highlighting their potential for post-combustion capture applications.

Another promising strategy was proposed by Mirković et al., who developed hybrid metakaolin–brushite composite materials modified with polyethylenimine (PEI) and other amines ¹²⁶). Their study revealed that the addition of PEI increased the number of accessible amine sites and improved chemisorption without severely compromising the structural integrity of the geopolymer matrix. The materials maintained stability under cyclic adsorption–desorption testing and demonstrated considerable selectivity toward CO₂ over N₂.

Chen et al. introduced a structural regulation approach, tailoring the geopolymer network to better accommodate amine loading and diffusion ¹²⁷). By optimizing the porosity and controlling the polymerization degree of the aluminosilicate gel, they enhanced both the dispersion and the stability of the grafted amine groups. The resulting sorbents showed high CO₂ uptake, strong thermal and chemical resistance, and favorable regeneration

performance over multiple cycles.

Although the presented examples of amine-functionalized geopolymers demonstrate promising performance, research in this area is currently limited, opening a rich opportunity for further exploration of optimal amine types, loadings, and integration with porous geopolymer matrices.

4. Future prospects and critical goals

Despite strong scientific interest, geopolymers—alkali-activated inorganic polymers known for over a century—have yet to achieve widespread commercial adoption. While they were once considered a promising alternative to conventional Portland cement-based concretes, recent developments have challenged this optimism. Today, more scientists view geopolymers as a “dead end”, primarily due to increasing economic and logistical barriers that undermine their scalability¹²⁸.

One critical issue is the reliance on industrial by-products such as fly ash and blast furnace slag. With the ongoing closure of coal-fired power plants across Europe and North America, fly ash availability has significantly declined, resulting in volatile supply and rising costs. In Germany, fly ash prices increased by 40% in 2022 alone, driven by energy transition policies¹²⁹. This scarcity is compounded by competition from the cement and concrete industries, which also consume these secondary raw materials.

Additionally, geopolymer production depends on alkali activators such as sodium silicate, which are substantially more expensive than cement clinker, costing approximately three to five times more per ton. Because most geopolymer production remains limited to pilot or small-scale facilities, the lack of economies of scale results in 25–35% higher unit costs compared to conventional cement production¹²⁹. These economic disadvantages increasingly affect the feasibility of geopolymers as mainstream construction materials.

At the same time, updated life cycle assessment (LCA) results have become less favorable, particularly when accounting for the environmental footprint of activator production and transport¹³⁰. Although geopolymers continue to offer scientific promise—especially for carbon sequestration and high-temperature applications—their market entry remains hampered by practical constraints.

Since the cement industry remains the dominant force in construction and a major source of CO₂ emissions, geopolymers have the potential to play a transformative role in decarbonizing the sector in the coming years. The perception of geopolymers could undergo a paradigm shift if their dual function—as both durable structural materials and highly effective carbon sequestration media—is fully recognized and harnessed to its full potential.

To fully harness these opportunities, the following critical goals and tasks must be prioritized and addressed in the near term:

Increase research on the capacity of geopolymers for CO₂ adsorption by thoroughly examining the processes of adsorption and desorption under various temperature and pressure conditions.

Conduct detailed research on the reversibility of sorption processes (CO₂ adsorption and desorption).

Search for alternative sources of anthropogenic raw materials to fly ash and slag, which would ensure a continuous supply and support the large-scale industrial production of geopolymers. Focus on locally occurring raw materials (e.g.,¹³¹⁻¹³³)

Intensify research on substances that catalyze CO₂ adsorption in geopolymers (chemical sorption).

Develop methods to synthesize highly porous geopolymers while simultaneously producing zeolite structures (geopolymer production conditions must allow for the simultaneous nucleation of zeolites).

Develop a solution that leverages the efflorescence formation on geopolymers' surfaces as sodium carbonates and employs a process to recover these carbonates. This process should expose the surface, allowing new carbonate structures to form using sodium ions from the geopolymers. Numerous practical challenges still hinder the widespread application of geopolymers for CO₂ capture and storage. Crucially, only solutions that are logistically feasible and scalable will have a meaningful impact. Continued support is therefore essential for efforts aimed at overcoming the implementation barriers within the construction industry. The absence of standardized procedures, construction norms, and regulatory frameworks tailored to geopolymers—unlike in the case of conventional building materials such as Portland cement—combined with limited public acceptance, significantly hinders their broader adoption. Nonetheless, the research conducted to date—including the examples discussed in this article—clearly indicates that geopolymers remain one of the most promising material-based strategies for addressing excess atmospheric CO₂.

5. Conclusions

This review highlights recent research and developments demonstrating the feasibility of carbon dioxide sequestration within geopolymer matrices. The emerging body of literature and growing interest in this field suggest a strong scientific basis for further exploration.

Compared to Portland cement-based systems, geopolymers offer several advantages that make them particularly suitable for CO₂ sequestration applications, including higher porosity, thermal stability, resistance to leaching, and the potential for producing energy-efficient foamed or composite insulation materials. These features, combined with the ability to tailor their microstructure and chemistry, position geopolymers as a promising platform for multifunctional, environmentally responsive

construction materials.

Several CO₂ sequestration mechanisms can occur simultaneously in geopolymers, such as physical and chemical adsorption, mineralization, and carbonation. In addition to intrinsic sorption capabilities, materials can be further enhanced through modifications such as amine functionalization or controlled foaming to increase porosity and surface reactivity. Zeolites, which can form in situ during geopolymerization or be intentionally introduced, are well-known for their CO₂ adsorption capacity. Their integration into geopolymer systems further improves sorption performance. Additionally, novel approaches such as using carbonic acid-based or soda ash activators offer routes for integrating captured atmospheric CO₂ directly into the synthesis process.

Although large-scale implementation remains limited and various technical and economic challenges persist, the potential of geopolymers and alkali-activated materials for CO₂ sequestration may serve as a catalyst for broader industrial adoption. Continued research and interdisciplinary collaboration are essential to advancing these materials from laboratory concepts to viable large-scale solutions for climate mitigation.

Author Contributions

Research concept and design, A.P. and M.L.; Collection and/or assembly of data, A.P. and M.L.; Data analysis and interpretation, M.L.; A.P.; K.S.; J.P. and K.R.; Writing the article, A.P. and M.L.; Critical revision of the article, A.P. and M.L.; Final approval of the article, M.L.; P.R. and J.M.

Funding

This work was funded by the Ministry of Science and Higher Education under a grant: Studies of CO₂ sorption and desorption in geopolymer functionalized porous structures for carbon sequestration (SKN/SP/601960/2024). The project was financed from state budget funds granted by the Minister of Science within the framework of the Program "Student Scientific Circles Create Innovations".

References

- 1) D.W. Kweku, O. Bismark, A. Maxwell, K.A. Desmond, K.B. Danso, E.A. Oti-Mensah, A.T. Quachie, B.B. Adormaa, "Greenhouse Effect: Greenhouse Gases and Their Impact on Global Warming," *J. Scient. Resear. Rep.*, **17** (6) 1-9 (2017). DOI: 10.9734/JSRR/2017/39630
- 2) A. Mikhaylov, N. Moiseev, K. Aleshin, T. Burkhardt, "Global climate change and greenhouse effect," *Entrepr. Sustain. Iss.*, **7** (4) 2897–2913 (2020). DOI: 10.9770/jesi.2020.7.4(21).
- 3) W. Zhong, J.D. Haigh, "The greenhouse effect and carbon dioxide," *Weather*, **68** (4) 1–6 (2013). DOI: 10.1002/wea.
- 4) P. Friedlingstein, M. O'Sullivan, M.W. Jones, R.M. Andrew, J. Hauck, C. Le Quéré, J.I. Korsbakken, G.P. Peters, W. Peters, J. Pongratz, et al., "Global carbon budget 2024," *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, **17** (3) 965–1039 (2025). DOI: 10.5194/essd-17-965-2025.
- 5) K. Dong, X. Dong, Q. Jiang, J. Zhao, "Assessing energy resilience and its greenhouse effect: A global perspective," *Ener. Econ.*, **104**, 105659 (2021). DOI: 10.1016/j.eneco.2021.105659.
- 6) N.M. Dowell, P.S. Fennell, N. Shah, G.C. Maitland, "The role of CO₂ capture and utilization in mitigating climate change," *Nat. Clim. Change*, **7** (4) 243–249 (2017). DOI: 10.1038/nclimate3231.
- 7) United Nations, "Paris Agreement," UNFCCC (2015). Available online: https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/english_paris_agreement.pdf (Accessed 2025-03-20).
- 8) DATATEGY, "Reducing carbon emissions with AI: The role of machine learning in energy efficiency" (2023). Available online: <https://www.datategy.net/2023/03/07/reducing-carbon-emissions-with-ai-the-role-of-machine-learning-in-energy-efficiency/> (Accessed 2025-03-20).
- 9) F. Akhtar, A. Kaiser, "Design and structuring of porous sorbents for CO₂ capture and separation," *Curr. Opin. Green Sustain. Chem.*, **50**, 100966 (2024). DOI: 10.1016/j.cogsc.2024.100966.
- 10) IEA, "Energy system/Buildings" (2025). Available online: <https://www.iea.org/energy-system/buildings> (Accessed 2025-03-20).
- 11) J. Xu, M. Li, D. Zhao, G. Zhong, Y. Sun, X. Hu, J. Sun, X. Li, W. Zhu, M. Li, et al., "Research and application progress of geopolymers in adsorption: A review," *Nanomaterials*, **12** (17), 3002 (2022). DOI: 10.3390/nano12173002.
- 12) S. Mingming, Z. Hengze, L. Ye, Z. Lingqi, "The adsorption properties of steel slag-based porous geopolymer for Cu²⁺ removal," *Miner. Eng.*, **201**, 108225 (2023). DOI: 10.1016/j.mineng.2023.108225.
- 13) Y. Fang, L. Yang, F. Rao, K. Zhang, Z. Qin, Z. Song, Z. Na, "Behaviors and mechanisms of adsorption of MB and Cr(VI) by geopolymer microspheres under single and binary systems," *Molecules*, **29** (7) 1560 (2024). DOI: 10.3390/molecules29071560.
- 14) E. Papa, E. Landi, A. Natali Murri, F. Miccio, A. Vaccari, V. Medri, "CO₂ adsorption at intermediate and low temperature by geopolymer-hydrotalcite composites," *Open Ceram.*, **5**, 100048 (2021). DOI: 10.1016/j.oceram.2020.100048.
- 15) M. Boscherini, F. Miccio, E. Papa, V. Medri, E. Landi, F. Doghieri, M. Minelli, "CO₂ adsorption in a geopolymer-zeolite composite: Experimental dynamic tests and modelling insights on related thermal effects," *Chem. Eng. Trans.*, **86**, 1069–1074 (2021). DOI: 10.3303/CET2186179.

- 16) M. Minelli, V. Medri, E. Papa, F. Miccio, E. Landi, F. Doghieri, "Geopolymers as solid adsorbent for CO₂ capture," *Chem. Eng. Sci.*, **148**, 267–274 (2016). DOI: 10.1016/j.ces.2016.04.013.
- 17) H. Chen, Y.J. Zhang, P.Y. He, C.J. Li, "Synthesis, characterization and modification of monolithic ZSM-5 from geopolymer for CO₂ capture: Experiments and DFT calculations," *Energy*, **179**, 422–430 (2019). DOI: 10.1016/j.energy.2019.04.113.
- 18) S.-Y. Lee, S.J. Park, "A review on solid adsorbents for carbon dioxide capture," *J. Ind. Eng. Chem.*, **23**, 1–11 (2015). DOI: 10.1016/j.jiec.2014.09.001.
- 19) M.C.M. Nasvi, P.G. Ranjith, J. Sanjayan, "Effect of different mix compositions on apparent carbon dioxide (CO₂) permeability of geopolymer: Suitability as well cement for CO₂ sequestration wells," *Appl. Energy*, **114**, 939–948 (2014). DOI: 10.1016/j.apenergy.2013.05.050.
- 20) M.K. Mondal, H.K. Balsora, P. Varshney, "Progress and trends in CO₂ capture/separation technologies: A review," *Energy*, **46** (1) 431–441 (2012). DOI: 10.1016/j.energy.2012.08.006.
- 21) I.H.A. Aziz, M.M.A.B. Abdullah, R.A. Razak, Z. Yahya, M.A.A.M. Salleh, J. Chairapra, C. Rojviriyi, P. Vizureanu, A.V. Sandu, M.F. Tahir, et al., "Mechanical performance, microstructure, and porosity evolution of fly ash geopolymer after ten years of curing age," *Materials*, **16** (3), 1096 (2023). DOI: 10.3390/ma16031096.
- 22) U.O. Aigbe, K.E. Ukhurebor, R.B. Onyancha, O.A. Osibote, H. Darmokoesoemo, H.S. Kusuma, "Fly ash-based adsorbent for adsorption of heavy metals and dyes from aqueous solution: A review," *J. Mater. Res. Technol.*, **14** 2751–2774 (2021). DOI: 10.1016/j.jmrt.2021.07.140.
- 23) C.J. Li, Y.J. Zhang, H. Chen, P.Y. He, Q. Meng, "Development of porous and reusable geopolymer adsorbents for dye wastewater treatment," *J. Clean. Prod.*, **348**, 131278 (2022). DOI: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.131278.
- 24) S. Candamano, A. Policicchio, G. Conte, R. Abarca, C. Algieri, S. Chakraborty, S. Curcio, V. Calabrò, F. Crea, R. Agostino, "Preparation of foamed and unfoamed geopolymer/NaX zeolite/activated carbon composites for CO₂ adsorption," *J. Clean. Prod.*, **330**, 129843 (2021). DOI: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.129843.
- 25) L. Han, X. Wang, B. Wu, S. Zhu, J. Wang, Y. Zhang, "In-situ synthesis of zeolite X in foam geopolymer as a CO₂ adsorbent," *J. Clean. Prod.*, **372**, 133591 (2022). DOI: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.133591.
- 26) M. Łach, K. Pławecka, A. Bąk, K. Lichocka, K. Korniejenko, A. Cheng, W.-T. Lin, "Determination of the influence of hydraulic additives on the foaming process and stability of the produced geopolymer foams," *Materials*, **14** (17), 5090 (2021). DOI: 10.3390/ma14175090.
- 27) K. Kaczmarski, K. Pławecka, B. Kozub, P. Bazan, M. Łach, "Preliminary investigation of geopolymer foams as coating materials," *Appl. Sci.*, **12** (21), 11205 (2022). DOI: 10.3390/app122111205.
- 28) A.L. Freire, H.J. José, R. de Fátima Peralta Muniz Moreira, "Potential applications for geopolymers in carbon capture and storage," *Int. J. Greenh. Gas Control*, **118**, 103687 (2022). DOI: 10.1016/j.ijggc.2022.103687.
- 29) Sk.S. Hossain, F. Akhtar, "Recent progress of geopolymers for carbon dioxide capture, storage and conversion," *J. CO₂ Util.*, **78**, 102631 (2023). DOI: 10.1016/j.jcou.2023.102631.
- 30) Y. Wang, L. Liu, C. Ren, J. Ma, B. Shen, P. Zhao, Z. Zhang, "A novel amine functionalized porous geopolymer spheres from municipal solid waste incineration fly ash for CO₂ capture," *J. Environ. Manag.*, **349**, 119540 (2024). DOI: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2023.119540.
- 31) A.L. Freire, C.D. Moura-Nickel, G. Scaratti, A. De Rossi, M.H. Araújo, A. De Noni Júnior, A.E. Rodrigues, E.R. Castellon, R. de Fátima Peralta Muniz Moreira, "Geopolymers produced with fly ash and rice husk ash applied to CO₂ capture," *J. Clean. Prod.*, **273**, 122917 (2020). DOI: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.122917.
- 32) J. Wu, H. Chen, S. Lv, Y. Zhou, "Kinetics and thermodynamics study on low energy synthesis of porous geopolymer-based solid amine sorbent for efficient CO₂ capture," *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.*, **12** (1) 111808 (2024). DOI: 10.1016/j.jece.2023.111808.
- 33) H. Chen, Y.J. Zhang, P.Y. He, L.C. Liu, "Synthesis, characterization, and selective CO₂ capture performance of a new type of activated carbon–geopolymer composite adsorbent," *J. Clean. Prod.*, **325**, 129271 (2021). DOI: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.129271.
- 34) P. Harirchi, M. Yang, "Exploration of carbon dioxide curing of low reactive alkali-activated fly ash," *Materials*, **15** (9) 3357 (2022). DOI: 10.3390/ma15093357.
- 35) S.H. Hajiabadi, M. Khalifeh, R. van Noort, "Stability analysis of a granite-based geopolymer sealant for CO₂ geosequestration: In-situ permeability and mechanical behavior while exposed to brine," *Cem. Concr. Compos.*, **149**, 105511 (2024). DOI: 10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2024.105511.
- 36) J. Mokrzycki, P. Baran, M. Gazda-Grzywacz, J. Bator, W. Wróbel, K. Zarębska, "Decarbonization of energy sector by CO₂ sequestration in waste incineration fly ash and its utilization as raw material for alkali activation," *Materials*, **16** (18) 6094 (2023). DOI: 10.3390/ma16186094.

- 37) J. Kohout, P. Koutník, P. Hájková, E. Kohoutová, A. Soukup, "Effect of K/Al molar ratio on the thermo-mechanical properties of metakaolinite-based geopolymer composites," *Polymers*, **13** (21) 3754 (2021). DOI: 10.3390/polym13213754.
- 38) J.L. Provis, "Alkali-activated materials," *Cem. Concr. Res.*, **114**, 40–48 (2018). DOI: 10.1016/j.cemconres.2017.02.009.
- 39) J.L. Provis, S.A. Bernal, "Geopolymers and related alkali-activated materials," *Annu. Rev. Mater. Res.*, **44** (1) 299–327 (2014). DOI: 10.1146/annurev-matsci-070813-113515.
- 40) L. Jiang, L. Cheng, Y. Zhang, G. Liu, J. Sun, "A review on CO₂ sequestration via mineralization of coal fly ash," *Energies*, **16** (17), 6241 (2023). DOI: 10.3390/en16176241.
- 41) K.O. Yoro, M.O. Daramola, "CO₂ emission sources, greenhouse gases, and the global warming effect," in *Adv. Carbon Capture: Methods, Technol. Appl.*, 3–28 (2020). DOI: 10.1016/B978-0-12-819657-1.00001-3.
- 42) J. Hu, Y. Zou, Y. Zhao, "Robust operation of hydrogen-fueled power-to-gas system within feasible operating zone considering carbon-dioxide recycling process," *Int. J. Hydrog. Energy*, **58**, 1429–1442 (2024). DOI: 10.1016/j.ijhydene.2024.01.337.
- 43) L. Wang, Y. Yang, W. Shen, X. Kong, P. Li, J. Yu, A.E. Rodrigues, "Experimental evaluation of adsorption technology for CO₂ capture from flue gas in an existing coal-fired power plant," *Chem. Eng. Sci.*, **101**, 615–619 (2013). DOI: 10.1016/j.ces.2013.07.028.
- 44) C.A. Scholes, K.H. Smith, S.E. Kentish, G.W. Stevens, "CO₂ capture from pre-combustion processes—Strategies for membrane gas separation," *Int. J. Greenh. Gas Control*, **4** (5) 739–755 (2010). DOI: 10.1016/j.ijggc.2010.04.001.
- 45) A. Mukherjee, J.A. Okolie, A. Abdelrasoul, C. Niu, A.K. Dalai, "Review of post-combustion carbon dioxide capture technologies using activated carbon," *J. Environ. Sci.*, **83**, 46–63 (2019). DOI: 10.1016/j.jes.2019.03.014.
- 46) H.F. Svendsen, E.T. Hessen, T. Mejdell, "Carbon dioxide capture by absorption, challenges and possibilities," *Chem. Eng. J.*, **171** (3) 718–724 (2011). DOI: 10.1016/j.cej.2011.01.014.
- 47) A.A. Abd, S.Z. Naji, A.S. Hashim, M.R. Othman, "Carbon dioxide removal through physical adsorption using carbonaceous and non-carbonaceous adsorbents: A review," *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.*, **8** (5), 104142 (2020). DOI: 10.1016/j.jece.2020.104142.
- 48) A.N. Shafawi, A.R. Mohamed, P. Lahijani, M. Mohammadi, "Recent advances in developing engineered biochar for CO₂ capture: An insight into the biochar modification approaches," *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.*, **9** (6), 106869 (2021). DOI: 10.1016/j.jece.2021.106869.
- 49) X. Gao, Z. Wang, T. Chen, L. Hu, S. Yang, S. Kawi, "State-of-art designs and synthesis of zeolite membranes for CO₂ capture," *Carbon Capture Sci. Technol.*, **5**, 100073 (2022). DOI: 10.1016/j.ccst.2022.100073.
- 50) S.S. Fatima, A. Borhan, M. Ayoub, N. Abd Ghani, "Development and progress of functionalized silica-based adsorbents for CO₂ capture," *J. Mol. Liq.*, **338**, 116913 (2021). DOI: 10.1016/j.molliq.2021.116913.
- 51) J. Li, P. Tharakan, D. Macdonald, X. Liang, "Technological, economic and financial prospects of carbon dioxide capture in the cement industry," *Energy Policy*, **61**, 1377-1387 (2013). DOI: 10.1016/j.enpol.2013.05.082
- 52) Y. Belmabkhout, V. Guillermin, M. Eddaoudi, "Low concentration CO₂ capture using physical adsorbents: Are metal-organic frameworks becoming the new benchmark materials?," *Chem. Eng. J.*, **296**, 386-397 (2016). DOI: 10.1016/j.cej.2016.03.124
- 53) J. Wee, "A review on carbon dioxide capture and storage technology using coal fly ash," *Appl. Energy*, **106**, 143-151 (2013). DOI: 10.1016/j.apenergy.2013.01.062
- 54) A. Dindi, D.V. Quang, L.F. Vega, E. Nashef, M.R. Abu-Zahra, "Applications of fly ash for CO₂ capture, utilization, and storage," *J. CO₂ Util.*, **29**, 82-102 (2018). DOI: 10.1016/j.jcou.2018.11.011
- 55) J. Wang, Y. Yang, Q. Jia, Y. Shi, Q. Guan, N. Yang, Q. Wang, P. Ning, "A critical review on solid waste derived CO₂ capturing materials," *Chem.Sus.Chem.*, **15**, 4083 (2019).
- 56) S.V. Vassilev, C.G. Vassileva, N.L. Petrova, "Mineral Carbonation of Biomass Ashes in Relation to Their CO₂ Capture and Storage Potential," *ACS Omega*, **6** (22) 14598–14611 (2021). DOI: 10.1021/acsomega.1c01730
- 57) O.H.P. Gunawardene, C.A. Gunathilake, K. Vikrant, S.M. Amaraweera, "Carbon Dioxide Capture through Physical and Chemical Adsorption Using Porous Carbon Materials: A Review," *Atmosphere*, **13** (3), 397 (2022). DOI: 10.3390/atmos13030397
- 58) A. Uliasz-Bocheńczyk, A. Pawluk, M. Pyzalski, "The mineral sequestration of CO₂ with the use of fly ash from the co-combustion of coal and biomass," *Gospod. Surowc. Mineral.*, **33**, 143–155 (2017).
- 59) G. Verrecchia, L. Cafiero, B. De Caprariis, A. Dell’Era, I. Pettiti, R. Tuffi, M. Scarsella, "Study of the parameters of zeolites synthesis from coal fly ash in order to optimize their CO₂ adsorption," *Fuel*, **276**, 118041 (2020). DOI: 10.1016/j.fuel.2020.118041
- 60) G.N. Muriithi, L.F. Petrik, F.J. Doucet, "Synthesis,

- characterisation and CO₂ adsorption potential of NaA and NaX zeolites and hydrotalcite obtained from the same coal fly ash,” *J. CO₂ Util.*, **36**, 220–230 (2020). DOI: 10.1016/j.jcou.2019.11.016
- 61) F. Yan, J. Jiang, K. Li, N. Liu, X. Chen, Y. Gao, S. Tian, “Green synthesis of nanosilica from coal fly ash and its stabilizing effect on CaO sorbents for CO₂ capture,” *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, **51**, 7606–7615 (2017). DOI: 10.1021/acs.est.7b00320
- 62) H. Chen, N. Khalili, “Fly-ash-modified calcium-based sorbents tailored to CO₂ capture,” *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, **56**, 1888–1894 (2017). DOI: 10.1021/acs.iecr.6b04234
- 63) B. Guo, J. Zhang, Y. Wang, X. Qiao, J. Xiang, Y. Jin, “Study on CO₂ adsorption capacity and kinetic mechanism of CO₂ adsorbent prepared from fly ash,” *Energy*, **263**, 125764 (2023). DOI: 10.1016/j.energy.2022.125764
- 64) X. Shao, B. Qin, Q. Shi, Y. Yang, Z. Ma, Y. Xu, M. Hao, Z. Jiang, W. Jiang, “Study on the sequestration capacity of fly ash on CO₂ and employing the product to prevent spontaneous combustion of coal,” *Fuel*, **334**, 126378 (2023). DOI: 10.1016/j.fuel.2022.126378
- 65) L. Ji, H. Yu, R. Zhang, D. French, M. Grigore, B. Yu, X. Wang, J. Yu, S. Zhao, “Effects of fly ash properties on carbonation efficiency in CO₂ mineralisation,” *Fuel Process. Technol.*, **188**, 79–88 (2019). DOI: 10.1016/j.fuproc.2019.01.015
- 66) Q. Yuan, G. Yang, Y. Zhang, T. Wang, J. Wang, C.E. Romero, “Supercritical CO₂ coupled with mechanical force to enhance carbonation of fly ash and heavy metal solidification,” *Fuel*, **315**, 123154 (2022). DOI: 10.1016/j.fuel.2022.123154
- 67) Q. Yuan, Y. Zhang, T. Wang, J. Wang, C.E. Romero, “Mineralization characteristics of coal fly ash in the transition from non-supercritical CO₂ to supercritical CO₂,” *Fuel*, **318**, 123636 (2022). DOI: 10.1016/j.fuel.2022.123636
- 68) X.Y.D. Soo, J.J.C. Lee, W. Wu, L. Tao, C. Wang, Q. Zhu, J. Bu, “Advancements in CO₂ capture by absorption and adsorption: A comprehensive review,” *J. CO₂ Util.*, **81**, 102727 (2024). DOI: 10.1016/j.jcou.2024.102727
- 69) N.M. Faqir, S. Elkatatny, M. Mahmoud, R. Shawabkeh, “Development of new geopolymer material as alternative plug for cement in CO₂ sequestration,” *Abu Dhabi Int. Petrol. Exhib. & Conf.*, Day 1 Mon, November 07, (2016). DOI: 10.2118/183492-ms
- 70) E. Papa, M. Minelli, M.C. Marchioni, E. Landi, F. Miccio, A.N. Murri, V. Medri, “Metakaolin-based geopolymer–zeolite NaA composites as CO₂ adsorbents,” *Appl. Clay Sci.*, **237**, 106900 (2023). DOI: 10.1016/j.clay.2023.106900
- 71) V.F.F. Barbosa, K.J.D. MacKenzie, C. Thaumaturgo, “Synthesis and characterisation of materials based on inorganic polymers of alumina and silica: sodium polysialate polymers,” *Int. J. Inorg. Mater.*, **2** (4) 309–317 (2000). DOI: 10.1016/S1466-6049(00)00041-6
- 72) F. Škvára, S. Pavlasová, et al., “High temperature properties of fly ash-based geopolymers,” in: Bílek, Keršner (Eds.), *The 3rd Int. Symp. on Non-Traditional Cement and Concrete*, Brno, Czech Republic, pp. 741–750 (2008).
- 73) F. Škvára, L. Kopecký, et al., “Aluminosilicate polymers – influence of elevated temperatures, efflorescence,” *Ceram. Silikáty*, **53**, 276–282 (2009).
- 74) G. Ishwarya, B. Singh, S. Deshwal, S. Bhattacharyya, “Effect of sodium carbonate/sodium silicate activator on the rheology, geopolymerization and strength of fly ash/slag geopolymer pastes,” *Cem. Concr. Compos.*, **97**, 226–238 (2019). DOI: 10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2018.12.007
- 75) A. Erfanimesh, M. Sharbatdar, “Mechanical and microstructural characteristics of geopolymer paste, mortar, and concrete containing local zeolite and slag activated by sodium carbonate,” *J. Build. Eng.*, **32**, 101781 (2020). DOI: 10.1016/j.jobe.2020.101781
- 76) T. Yang, X. Gao, J. Zhang, X. Zhuang, H. Wang, Z. Zhang, “Sulphate resistance of one-part geopolymer synthesized by calcium carbide residue-sodium carbonate-activation of slag,” *Compos. Part B Eng.*, **242**, 110024 (2022). DOI: 10.1016/j.compositesb.2022.110024
- 77) H. Alghamdi, N. Neithalath, “Novel synthesis of lightweight geopolymer matrices from fly ash through carbonate-based activation,” *Mater. Today Commun.*, **17**, 266–277 (2018). DOI: 10.1016/j.mtcomm.2018.09.014
- 78) C.B. Cheah, L.E. Tan, M. Ramli, “The engineering properties and microstructure of sodium carbonate activated fly ash/slag blended mortars with silica fume,” *Compos. Part B Eng.*, **160**, 558–572 (2019). DOI: 10.1016/j.compositesb.2018.12.056
- 79) J. Lao, L. Xu, B. Huang, J. Zhu, M. Khan, J. Dai, “Utilization of sodium carbonate activator in strain-hardening ultra-high-performance geopolymer concrete (SH-UHPGC),” *Front. Mater.*, **10**, 1142237 (2023). DOI: 10.3389/fmats.2023.1142237
- 80) F. Steffen, T. Kordsachia, T. Heizmann, M.P. Eckardt, Y. Chen, B. Saake, “Sodium Carbonate Pulping of Wheat Straw—An Alternative Fiber Source for Various Paper Applications,” *Agronomy*, **14** (1), 162 (2024). DOI: 10.3390/agronomy14010162
- 81) A. Krótki, T. Spietz, S. Dobras, T. Chwoła, A. Tatarczuk, D. Żórawski, K. Skowron, D. Skrzyńiecki, P. Hulisz, “Carbon capture pilot study

- in Solvay soda ash process,” *Appl. Energy*, **380**, 124995 (2025). DOI: 10.1016/j.apenergy.2024.124995
- 82) F. Matalkah, P. Soroushian, “Role of CO₂ in enhancing geopolymer properties formulated with fluidized bed combustion ash,” *J. CO₂ Util.*, **71**, 102462 (2023). DOI: 10.1016/j.jcou.2023.102462
- 83) M. Khalifeh, H. Hodne, “Method of mineralization of CO₂ in inorganic polymers (geopolymers),” Patent US20230041018A1, filed 30 Nov 2020, published 9 Feb 2023.
- 84) S. Ridha, R.A. Setiawan, A.A. Pramana, et al., “Impact of wet supercritical CO₂ injection on fly ash geopolymer cement under elevated temperatures for well cement applications,” *J. Petrol. Explor. Prod. Technol.*, **10**, 243–247 (2020). DOI: 10.1007/s13202-019-0693-y
- 85) C. Ma, B. Zhao, S. Guo, G. Long, Y. Xie, “Properties and characterization of green one-part geopolymer activated by composite activators,” *J. Clean. Prod.*, **220**, 188-199 (2019). DOI: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.02.159
- 86) S. Gupta, “CO₂ assisted geo-polymerization: a win-win pragmatic approach for the synthesis of soda ash leading to reversal of the climate clock,” *RSC Sustain.*, **2**, 3782-3787 (2024). DOI: 10.1039/D4SU00541D
- 87) H. Zheng, Y. He, Y. Zhu, L. Liu, X. Cui, “Novel procedure of CO₂ capture of the CaO sorbent activator on the reaction of one-part alkali-activated slag,” *RSC Adv.*, **11** (21) 12476-12483 (2021). DOI: 10.1039/d1ra01353j
- 88) M. Schneider, E. Rodríguez-Castellón, M.O. Guerrero-Pérez, D. Hotza, A.N. Junior, R. Moreira, “Hierarchically porous composites containing mining tailings-based geopolymer and zeolite 13x: application for carbon dioxide sequestration,” Preprint (2024). DOI: 10.21203/rs.3.rs-4919140/v1
- 89) W.L. Vasconcelos, J.F.D. Nascimento, J.D.O.N. Ribeiro, D.C.L. Vasconcelos, M.A. Pereira, “Process for obtaining synthetic geopolymers and synthetic geopolymers,” Patent US20230109878A1, filed 13 Sep 2022, published 13 Apr 2023.
- 90) K. Zarębska, J. Szczurowski, M. Gazda-Grzywacz, W. Wróbel, J. Bator, P. Baran, “Geopolymer building materials based on fly ash in terms of removing SO₂, CO₂, and water vapor,” *Energies*, **16** (13), 5188 (2023). DOI: 10.3390/en16135188
- 91) S. Adjei, S. Elkhatatny, W.N. Aggrey, Y.A. Abdelraouf, “Extended abstract: the feasibility of using geopolymer in oil-well cementing: a review,” *Int. Petrol. Technol. Conf.*, Day 3 Wed, February 23 (2022). DOI: 10.2523/iptc-22130-ms
- 92) M.C.M. Nasvi, T.D. Rathnaweera, E. Padmanabhan, “Geopolymer as well cement and its mechanical integrity under deep down-hole stress conditions: application for carbon capture and storage wells,” *Geomech. Geophys. Geo-energ. Geo-resour.*, **2**, 245–256 (2016). DOI: 10.1007/s40948-016-0034-2
- 93) M. Khalifeh, J. Todorovic, T. Vrålstad, A. Saasen, H. Hodne, “Long-term durability of rock-based geopolymers aged at downhole conditions for oil well cementing operations,” *J. Sustain. Cem. Mater.*, **6**, 217–230 (2017). DOI: 10.1080/21650373.2016.1196466
- 94) A. De Rossi, L. Simão, M. Ribeiro, R. Novais, J. Labrincha, D. Hotza, R. Moreira, “In-situ synthesis of zeolites by geopolymerization of biomass fly ash and metakaolin,” *Mater. Lett.*, **236**, 644-648 (2019). DOI: 10.1016/j.matlet.2018.11.016
- 95) D. Wu, Y. Huang, G. Xiao, X. Li, X. Yao, Z. Deng, R. Tan, “In situ synthesis of zeolites by geopolymerization with NaOH/KOH mixed solution and their potential application for Cd(II) immobilization in paddy soil,” *Clay Miner.*, **56** (2) 156-167 (2021). DOI: 10.1180/clm.2021.29
- 96) H. Chen, Y.J. Zhang, P.Y. He, C.J. Li, L.C. Liu, “Novel activated carbon route to low-cost geopolymer based porous composite with high mechanical resistance and enhanced CO₂ capacity,” *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.*, **305**, 110282 (2020). DOI: 10.1016/j.micromeso.2020.110282
- 97) L. Han, J. Wang, Z. Liu, Y. Zhang, Y. Jin, J. Li, D. Wang, “Synthesis of fly ash-based self-supported zeolites foam geopolymer via saturated steam treatment,” *J. Hazard. Mater.*, **393**, 122468 (2020). DOI: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2020.122468
- 98) H. Lei, Y. Muhammad, K. Wang, M. Yi, C. He, Y. Wei, T. Fujita, “Facile fabrication of metakaolin/slag-based zeolite microspheres (M/SZMs) geopolymer for the efficient remediation of Cs⁺ and Sr²⁺ from aqueous media,” *J. Hazard. Mater.*, **406**, 124292 (2021). DOI: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2020.124292
- 99) W. Rahmah, G. Kadja, M. Mahyuddin, A. Saputro, H. Dipojono, I. Wenten, “Small-pore zeolite and zeotype membranes for CO₂ capture and sequestration – A review,” *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.*, **10** (6), 108707 (2022). DOI: 10.1016/j.jece.2022.108707
- 100) Y. Yang, Y. Zhou, B. Zhang, M. Zhang, Z. Shi, Y. Liu, J. Li, “Tailoring pores of AFN-related zeolites for enhanced separation of CO₂/N₂ and CO₂/CH₄,” *Sep. Purif. Technol.*, **350**, 127974 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2024.127974>
- 101) Y. Guo, T. Sun, Y. Gu, X. Liu, Q. Ke, X. Wei, S. Wang, “Rational Synthesis of Chabazite (CHA) Zeolites with Controlled Si/Al Ratio and Their CO₂/CH₄/N₂ Adsorptive Separation Performances,” *Chem. Asian J.*, **13** (21) 3222-3230 (2018). DOI:

- 10.1002/asia.201800930
- 102) X.H. Wang, N.N. Yan, M. Xie, P.X. Liu, P. Bai, H.P. Su, B.Y. Wang, Y.Z. Wang, L.B. Li, T. Cheng, P. Guo, W.F. Yan, J.H. Yu, "The inorganic cation-tailored 'trapdoor' effect of silicoaluminophosphate zeolite for highly selective CO₂ separation," *Chem. Sci.*, **12** (25) 8803–8810 (2021). DOI: 10.1039/d1sc00619c
- 103) A. Gutierrez-Ortega, M. Montes-Morán, J. Parra, J. Sempere, R. Nomen, R. Gonzalez-Olmos, "Comparative study of binderless zeolites and carbon molecular sieves as adsorbents for CO₂ capture processes," *J. CO₂ Util.*, **61**, 102012 (2022). DOI: 10.1016/j.jcou.2022.102012
- 104) E. Hayakawa, S. Himeno, "Synthesis of all-silica ZSM-58 zeolite membranes for separation of CO₂/CH₄ and CO₂/N₂ gas mixtures," *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.*, **291**, 109695 (2019). DOI: 10.1016/j.micromeso.2019.109695
- 105) J. Tang, P. Liu, J. Shang, Y. Fei, "Application of CO₂-loaded geopolymer in Zn removal from water: A multi-win strategy for coal fly ash disposal, CO₂ emission reduction, and heavy metal-contaminated water treatment," *Environ. Res.*, **237** (2), 117012 (2023). DOI: 10.1016/j.envres.2023.117012
- 106) P. He, Q. Wang, S. Fu, M. Wang, S. Zhao, X. Liu, Y. Jiang, D. Jia, Y. Zhou, "Hydrothermal transformation of geopolymers to bulk zeolite structures for efficient hazardous elements adsorption," *Sci. Total Environ.*, **767**, 144973 (2021). DOI: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.144973
- 107) B. Petrovic, M. Gorbounov, S. Masoudi Soltani, "Synthesis of biomass combustion fly ash derived zeolites for CO₂ adsorption: Optimisation of hydrothermal synthetic pathway," *Carbon Capture Sci. Technol.*, **12**, 100245 (2024). DOI: 10.1016/j.ccst.2024.100245
- 108) Y. Wang, L. Chen, S. Li, Z. Zhang, "Synthesis of geopolymer-zeolite composite from municipal solid waste incineration fly ash and their performance for CO₂ adsorption," *Sep. Purif. Technol.*, **354**, 129114 (2025). DOI: 10.1016/j.seppur.2024.129114
- 109) B. Zhao, X. Deng, Y. He, P. Xiao, A.S. Dhmees, X. Cui, "Carbonic anhydrase immobilized on Zn(II)-geopolymer membrane for CO₂ capture," *Biochem. Eng. J.*, **208**, 109364 (2024). DOI: 10.1016/j.bej.2024.109364
- 110) K. Sedić, N. Ukrainczyk, V. Mandić, N. Gaurina-Međimurec, J. Šipušić, "Carbonation of Portland-Zeolite and geopolymer well-cement composites under geologic CO₂ sequestration conditions," *Cem. Concr. Compos.*, **111**, 103615 (2020). DOI: 10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2020.103615
- 111) G. Ma, C. Bai, M. Wang, P. He, "Effects of Si/Al Ratios on the Bulk-Type Zeolite Formation Using Synthetic Metakaolin-Based Geopolymer with Designated Composition," *Crystals*, **11** (11), 1310 (2021). DOI: 10.3390/cryst11111310
- 112) H.Ö. Öz, A. Bilgil, A. Tamer, K. Günaydin, "Process Development of Fly Ash-Based Geopolymer Mortars in View of the Mechanical Characteristics," *Mater.*, **14** (11), 2935 (2020). DOI: 10.3390/ma14112935
- 113) Z. Zheng, X. Ma, Z. Zhang, Y. Li, "In-situ transition of amorphous gels to Na-P1 zeolite in geopolymer: Mechanical and adsorption properties," *Constr. Build. Mater.*, **202**, 851-860 (2019). DOI: 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2019.01.067
- 114) K. Vegere, L. Vitola, P.P. Argalis, D. Bajare, A.E. Krauklis, "Alkali-Activated Metakaolin as a Zeolite-Like Binder for the Production of Adsorbents," *Inorganics*, **7** (12), 141 (2019). DOI: 10.3390/inorganics7120141
- 115) C. Shi, L. Li, Y. Li, "High-throughput screening of hypothetical aluminosilicate zeolites for CO₂ capture from flue gas," *J. CO₂ Util.*, **42**, 101346 (2020). DOI: 10.1016/j.jcou.2020.101346
- 116) M.M. Zagho, M.K. Hassan, M. Khraisheh, M.A.A. Al-Maadeed, S. Nazarenko, "A review on recent advances in CO₂ separation using zeolite and zeolite-like materials as adsorbents and fillers in mixed matrix membranes (MMMs)," *Chem. Eng. J. Adv.*, **6**, 100091 (2021). DOI: 10.1016/j.ceja.2021.100091
- 117) D. Panda, E.A. Kumar, S.K. Singh, "Introducing mesoporosity in zeolite 4A bodies for Rapid CO₂ capture," *J. CO₂ Util.*, **40**, 101223 (2020). DOI: 10.1016/j.jcou.2020.101223
- 118) E. Kamseu, B. Nait-Ali, M. Bignozzi, C. Leonelli, S. Rossignol, D. Smith, "Bulk composition and microstructure dependence of effective thermal conductivity of porous inorganic polymer cements," *J. Eur. Ceram. Soc.*, **32** (8) 1593-1603 (2012). DOI: 10.1016/j.jeurceramsoc.2011.12.030
- 119) D. Kioupis, C. Kavakakis, S. Tsivilis, G. Kakali, "Synthesis and Characterization of Porous Fly Ash-Based Geopolymers Using Si as Foaming Agent," *Adv. Mater. Sci. Eng.*, **2018** (1), 1942898 (2018). DOI: 10.1155/2018/1942898
- 120) P. Chindaprasirt, U. Rattanasak, "Characterization of porous alkali-activated fly ash composite as a solid absorbent," *Int. J. Greenh. Gas Control*, **85**, 30-35 (2019). DOI: 10.1016/j.ijggc.2019.03.011
- 121) M. Monjezi, V. Javanbakht, "A novel foam of geopolymer based on ZSM-5 zeolite fabricated using templating emulsion/chemical foaming method and its application in batch and continuous dye removal systems," *Environ. Prog. Sustain. Energy*, **42** (4), e14074 (2023). DOI: 10.1002/ep.14074
- 122) X. Kong, S. Li, M. Strømme, C. Xu, "Synthesis of Porous Organic Polymers with Tunable Amine Loadings for CO₂ Capture: Balanced Physisorption

- and Chemisorption,” *Nanomater.*, **9** (7), 1020 (2019). DOI: 10.3390/nano9071020
- 123) M. Faisal, A.Z. Pamungkas, Y.K. Krisnandi, “Study of Amine Functionalized Mesoporous Carbon as CO₂ Storage Materials,” *Processes*, **9** (3), 456 (2021). DOI: 10.3390/pr9030456
- 124) J.Y. Bae, S.G. Jang, J. Cho, M. Kang, “Amine-Functionalized Mesoporous Silica for Efficient CO₂ Capture: Stability, Performance, and Industrial Feasibility,” *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, **26** (9), 4313 (2024). DOI: 10.3390/ijms26094313
- 125) T.M. McDonald, D.M. D'Alessandro, R. Krishna, J.R. Long, “Enhanced carbon dioxide capture upon incorporation of N,N'-dimethylethylenediamine in the metal-organic framework CuBTTri,” *Chem. Sci.*, **2** (10) 2021–2029 (2011). DOI: 10.1039/C1SC00354B
- 126) M. Mirković, M.S. Yilmaz, L. Kljajević, V. Pavlović, M. Ivanović, D. Djukić, T. Eren, “Design of PEI and Amine Modified Metakaolin-Brushite Hybrid Polymeric Composite Materials for CO₂ Capturing,” *Polymers*, **15** (7), 1669 (2022). DOI: 10.3390/polym15071669
- 127) H. Chen, S. Dong, Y. Zhang, P. He, “Robust structure regulation of geopolimer as novel efficient amine support to prepare high-efficiency CO₂ capture solid sorbent,” *Chem. Eng. J.*, **427**, 131577 (2021). DOI: 10.1016/j.cej.2021.131577
- 128) Ł. Gołek, “Geopolimery: nadchodząca rewolucja czy ślepy zaułek?” *Budownictwo, Technologie, Architektura*, **2**, 60–64 (2024).
- 129) PW Consulting Chemical and Energy Research Center, “Geopolymer Cementitious Material Market,” (2025). Available online: <https://pmarketresearch.com/chemi/geopolymer-cementitious-material-market/> (Accessed 2025-06-20).
- 130) A.I. Yoris-Nobile, E. Lizasoain-Arteaga, C.J. Slebi-Acevedo, E. Blanco-Fernandez, S. Alonso-Cañon, I. Indacochea-Vega, D. Castro-Fresno, “Life cycle assessment (LCA) and multi-criteria decision-making (MCDM) analysis to determine the performance of 3D printed cement mortars and geopolymers,” *J. Sustain. Cem.-Based Mater.*, **12** (5) 609–626 (2022). DOI: 10.1080/21650373.2022.2099479
- 131) D.D. Dimas, I.P. Giannopoulou, D. Panias, “Utilization of alumina red mud for synthesis of inorganic polymeric materials,” *Miner. Process. Extr. Metall. Rev.*, **30** (3) 211–239 (2009). DOI: 10.1080/08827500802498199
- 132) C. Phiangphimai, N. Damrongwiriyanupap, S. Hanjitsuwan, J. Thumrongvut, P. Chindaprasirt, “A Mix Design Procedure for Alkali-Activated High-Calcium Fly Ash Concrete Cured at Ambient Temperature,” *Adv. Mater. Sci. Eng.*, **2018** (1), 2460403 (2018). DOI: 10.1155/2018/2460403
- 133) J. He, Y. Jie, J. Zhang, Y. Yu, G. Zhang, “Synthesis and characterization of red mud and rice husk ash-based geopolimer composites,” *Cem. Concr. Compos.*, **37**, 108–118 (2013). DOI: 10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2012.11.010